Arnside & Silverdale Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty (AONB) Development Plan Document (DPD)



Interim Consultation Statement

November 2016





Contents

Introduction	2
Who we have engaged	4
How we have engaged	8
Key issues raised through engagement process	. 13
Next Steps	. 16
endix 1 - Duty to Co-operate bodies	. 17
endix 2 - Housing Needs Survey Questionnaire	. 18
endix 3 - Call for Sites Site Suggestion Form	. 24
endix 4 – Summary of responses to Issues and Options and Extra Sites Consultations	s 32
endix 5 - Main issues raised at Issues and Options Stage Drop-in Events	. 33
endix 6 - Main issues raised about the Site Assessment Methodology and how we	. 37
endix 7 - Main issues raised about the draft Sustainability Appraisal Scoping Report a	
endix 8 – Record of Workshop with Infrastructure Providers	. 39
	Who we have engaged Key issues raised through engagement process Next Steps endix 1 - Duty to Co-operate bodies endix 2 - Housing Needs Survey Questionnaire endix 3 - Call for Sites Site Suggestion Form endix 4 - Summary of responses to Issues and Options and Extra Sites Consultations endix 5 - Main issues raised at Issues and Options Stage Drop-in Events endix 6 - Main issues raised about the Site Assessment Methodology and how we conded endix 7 - Main issues raised about the draft Sustainability Appraisal Scoping Report a we responded

1 Introduction

- 1.1 This document sets out how South Lakeland District Council (SLDC) and Lancaster City Council (LCC), with the support of Arnside & Silverdale AONB Partnership, have involved the community and relevant organisations in the early stages of the preparation of the Arnside & Silverdale Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty Development Plan Document (AONB DPD). It shows how we have complied with Regulation 25 of the Town and Country Planning (Local Development) (England) (Amendment) Regulations 2008 and how we have undertaken engagement in accordance with the 2008 Regulations. The AONB DPD is being produced by both Local Planning Authorities with involvement from the AONB Partnership. The AONB DPD will identify sites for new housing and employment to meet local needs and will set out planning policies to ensure that development reflects the AONB designation. The DPD will form part of both authorities' Local Plans. All documents, reports and response referred to in this document are available on the Councils' websites and the AONB Partnership's website.
- 1.2 The engagement processes for the early stages of the development of the AONB DPD has been guided by <u>South Lakeland District Council's Statement of Community Involvement (SCI)</u> and <u>Lancaster City Statement of Community Involvement (SCI)</u>. Table 1 below shows how we have conformed to our respective SCIs in the development of the AONB DPD.

Table 1: SCI requirements vs. Consultation Methods Used						
Consultation Method	South Lakeland SCI requirement for early consultation on DPDs?	Lancaster City SCI requirement for early consultation on DPDs	Undertaken for early stage AONB DPD consultation?			
Making consultation documents available at Council Offices and local libraries	>	>	~			
Documents available on the Council's website and electronic consultation response options	•	•	•			
Using local press, TV and radio	~	~	~			
Using existing channels / networks	~	~	~			
Key stakeholder groups	~	✓	✓			
Issuing a questionnaire	X	✓	~			
Exhibitions, leaflets and/or posters	X	X	X			
Focus Groups	X	X	X			

- 1.3 As a key stage in the early preparation of the AONB DPD, the public were invited to comment on an Issues and Options Discussion Paper between Friday 6 November 2015 and 5pm Friday 18 December 2015. The Issues and Options document set out a series of questions, seeking feedback on options for the topics to be covered by the DPD, the direction of policies and on sites that had been put forward for consideration for development or protection. As a result of this consultation, new sites were suggested for consideration and a further 6-week period of consultation was allowed for people to comment on them.
- 1.4 Prior to the Issues and Options consultation, the public and other stakeholders were engaged in a range of evidence gathering exercises. These included:
 - Housing Needs Survey;
 - Call for Sites:
 - Site Assessment Methodology; and
 - Sustainability Appraisal Scoping Report.
- 1.5 This Interim Consultation Statement provides a summary of:
 - Which bodies and persons we have engaged with in the plan preparation;
 - How these bodies and persons have been engaged;
 - A summary of the main issues raised by these bodies and persons; and
 - The next steps for community and stakeholder engagement.
- 1.6 The main aims of our engagement so far have been to:
 - Promote awareness of the need to create a DPD for the AONB and how this
 fits in with the South Lakeland Local Plan and Lancaster City Local Plans;
 - **Highlight** and raise awareness of the limitations of the plan;
 - Encourage people to comment online/by post;
 - Promote awareness of and encourage stakeholders to attend meetings;
 - Gather people's views on suggested sites, issues to be addressed and and policy topics;
 - Explain to people how and when their comments will be taken into account and when they can expect feedback;
 - Explain the remaining stages in preparing the development plan document and further opportunities to comment.

Duty to Co-operate

1.7 When producing a Development Plan Document, Section 33A of the 2011 Localism Act requires Councils to co-operate with a number of bodies. This is known as the 'duty to cooperate'. These bodies are set out in Appendix 1. We have engaged with these bodies throughout the preparation of the AONB DPD so far including by writing directly to them as part of the Issues and Options and Extra Sites consultations. Their comments also informed the Site Assessment Form criteria.

2 Who we have engaged

Issues and Options Discussion Paper

- 2.1 The Issues and Options Discussion Paper consultation was the first main public consultation undertaken as part of the preparation of the AONB DPD. As well as giving people chance to get involved in the preparation of the AONB DPD, the aim of this consultation was to gather communities' and individuals' views, thoughts and ideas on what topic areas should be covered by policies in the AONB DPD, the overall development strategy for the AONB and which sites might be suitable for development to meet local housing needs.
- 2.2 We sought to engage with all individuals, communities, organisations and stakeholders who may be affected by and/or have an interest in the AONB DPD to make sure all relevant stakeholders and communities were clear on:
 - the purpose of the AONB DPD, the process of preparing it and how and when they may be affected;
 - how and when they can comment on and get involved in preparing the AONB DPD and what they can and can't influence;
 - how and when their comments will be taken into account by the Councils and when they can expect feedback;
 - the remaining stages in preparing the AONB DPD and further opportunities to comment.

Table 2: Who we engaged with on the Issues and Options Discussion paper

Specific Consultation Bodies

- Statutory bodies: Natural England, Environment Agency and Historic England.
- Duty to Co-operate bodies
- Town / Parish Councils and Local Planning Authorities covering or adjoining the AONB

General Consultation Bodies

- Members of the public, including all 4,031 households of the AONB
- AONB Partnership
- Local and County Council Elected Members (Councillors)
- Development Industry
- Service and Infrastructure Providers
- Groups representing voluntary, racial/ethnic, national, religious, disability and business interests.
- Specific groups representing certain interests who may cover for example environmental, health, education, transport, leisure, economic development and community needs or equalities issues.

Extra Sites Consultation

2.3 The additional 5-week consultation period following the main Issues and Options consultation allowing people the chance to comment on new site suggestions was targeted primarily at those who had responded to the main consultation. It included the statutory and general consultation bodies referred to above, although households were only contacted directly if they had responded to the main consultation. Local media was used to ensure wider awareness of the consultation.

Housing Needs Survey

2.4 Cumbria Rural Housing Trust (CRHT) was commissioned in 2014 by SLDC and LCC, with the support of Arnside & Silverdale AONB Partnership, to conduct a Housing Needs Survey with the aim to provide parish-level evidence to supplement existing housing needs evidence previously prepared by South Lakeland District and Lancaster City Councils.

Table 3: Who we engaged with on the Housing Needs Survey

- Members of the public
- 2.5 This included all 4,031 households identified within the AONB boundary, as well as residents of the part of Beetham Parish that falls outside of the AONB boundary.

Call for sites

2.6 Individuals and organisations were invited to put forward suggestions of sites to be considered for inclusion in the AONB DPD between 12 December 2014 and 28 February 2015. Through this process we asked for proposals to be put forward for any sites believed to be suitable for housing, affordable housing, employment, community use or other development, or for locally important open space.

Table 4: Who we engaged with on the Call for Sites

Specific Consultation Bodies

- Statutory bodies: Natural England, Environment Agency and Historic England.
- Duty to Cooperate bodies
- Town / Parish Councils and Local Planning Authorities covering or adjoining the AONB

General Consultation Bodies

- Members of the public
- Local and County Council Elected Members (Councillors)
- Groups representing voluntary, racial/ethnic, national, religious, disability and business interests.

• Specific groups representing certain interests who may cover for example environmental, health, education, transport, leisure, economic development and community needs or equalities issues.

Site Assessment Methodology

- 2.7 SLDC and LCC consulted a select group of consultees asking for comments on the site assessment methodology, which has been used to assess the site suggestions made through the Call for Sites. The consultation looked to assess stakeholder views on whether the <u>draft site assessment pro-forma</u> provided a reasonable set of criteria for assessing the site suggestions, or whether it could be modified or improved.
- 2.8 The following organisations made representations on the methodology.
 - AONB Partnership
 - Parish Councils
 - Coal Authority
 - Cumbria County Council
 - First Trans Pennine Express
 - Friends of the Lake District
 - Planning Consultants
 - Historic England

- Home Builders Federation
- Lancaster Civic Society
- Lancashire County Council
- Marine Management Organisation
- Natural England
- NHS Cumbria
- Office of Rail and Road
- Arnside Parish Plan Trust

Sustainability Appraisal Scoping Report

2.9 Hyder Consulting (UK) Ltd (now called Arcadis) prepared a draft Sustainability Appraisal Scoping Report on behalf of SLDC and LCC. The scoping report is the first stage of the Sustainability Appraisal (SA) process for the emerging DPD and is used to set the scope and level of detail of the SA. In line with the Environmental Assessment of Plans and Programmes Regulations 2004, we consulted with the three statutory consultees (Natural England, Environment Agency and Historic England) on a draft of the Sustainability Appraisal Scoping Report. This consultation ran for a five week period between 3 June and 8 July 2015. The final Sustainability Appraisal Scoping Report was subject to public consultation at Issues and Options stage.

Table 5: Who we engaged with on the draft Sustainability Appraisal Scoping Report

Specific Consultation Bodies

Statutory bodies: Natural England, Environment Agency=and Historic England.

Equalities

- 2.10 We consulted directly with a range of community groups and organisations by contacting them by letter or email. This included organisations representing particular social groups including faith groups, people from black and minority ethnic backgrounds, people with disabilities and particular age groups, including the young and elderly.
- 2.11 Methods of engagement used to help broaden the accessibility of the consultation include:
 - Translation / other formats available for all documents on request
 - Venues where documents were placed were accessible to those with disabilities
 - Different methods of responding were available
 - Ensuring the consultation was advertised through a variety of means
 - Drop-in events so people could speak with us face-to-face

These and other methods will be used to ensure equality in participation throughout the process.

3 How we have engaged

Issues and Options Discussion Paper

3.1 The Issues and Options Consultation includes consultation on; the Issues and Options Discussion Paper; maps showing all the sites suggested for consideration for development or protection and the final Sustainability Appraisal Scoping Report. In accordance with both Councils' SCIs, we used a range of methods to publicise the Issues and Options consultation and to engage people in the process. These were:

Prior to the consultation:

- Press release to the local media
- Press notices in both the Westmorland Gazette and the Lancaster Guardian
- Posters issued to Parish Councils to place on parish notice boards
- Letters/emails sent to all relevant parties/individuals on the Councils' Consultation databases
- Postcard sent to every residential address within the AONB
- Briefing at the AONB Partnership's Executive Committee meeting (October 2015)
- Use of **Facebook and Twitter** to highlight the upcoming consultation

3.2 During the consultation:

- Documents, including response forms, available at Council offices and local libraries
- Documents, including response forms, available on the websites of both Councils and the AONB Partnership
- **Drop-in events** held in every parish within the AONB
- Use of Facebook and Twitter to remind people of the drop-in events
- Article in Council Newsletters (such as South Lakeland News) in November 2015
- Online response facility

Extra Sites Consultation

- 3.3 The additional 5-week consultation period to allow people to comment on additional site suggestions made during the main Issues and Options consultation was more focused. It utilised the following methods:
 - Documents, including response forms, available at Council offices and local libraries
 - Press release to the local media
 - Posters issued to Parish Councils to place on parish notice boards
 - Documents, including response forms, available on the websites of both Councils and the AONB Partnership
 - Letters/emails sent to all those who responded to the main consultation along with the statutory and other consultation bodies
 - Use of Facebook and Twitter

 Update briefing at the AONB Partnership's Executive Committee meeting (March 30 2016)

Housing Needs Survey

- 3.4 The Housing Needs Survey was undertaken in May 2014. In order to promote awareness of the survey and to encourage people to complete it, we:
 - Wrote to 4,031 households within the AONB boundary (and also to those in the part of Beetham Parish that falls outside the boundary) with a covering letter, survey form (Appendix 2) and prepaid self-addressed envelope. The return deadline was Monday 16th June 2013;
 - Placed all relevant documents on the SLDC, Lancaster City and AONB Partnership websites;
 - Made all relevant documents available at Council Offices;
 - Briefed Town and Parish Councils by email/letter on the survey;
 - SLDC and Lancaster City Council issued a press release to the local media
 - A Stakeholder Consultation event, facilitated by the Arnside & Silverdale AONB Manager, was held on the 20th May 2014, with representation from the Parish Councils, local landowners and a number of organisations from the AONB Partnership.
 - Used Facebook & Twitter to promote awareness of the Housing Needs Survey process.
- 3.5 The press release was published on all three websites. A meeting was also held with locally relevant Registered Social Landlords and stakeholders following the publication of the results of the Housing Needs Survey.

Call for sites

- 3.6 The Call for Sites for the AONB DPD took place from 12 December 2014 to 27 February 2015. Through this process we asked people to put forward proposals for any sites they believe to be suitable for housing, affordable housing, employment, community use or other development, or for important open space within the AONB. Site suggestions had to be made using a site suggestion form (Appendix 3). Immediately prior to the Call for Sites we:
 - Wrote (by email or letter) to individuals who, at the time, were identified on the AONB DPD consultee database;
 - Placed all relevant documents on SLDC, Lancaster City and the AONB Partnership websites;
 - Made all relevant documents available at Council Offices:
 - Briefed all relevant District Councillors and County Councillors by email/letter on the proposals and consultation process;
 - Briefed Town and Parish Councils by email/letter on the proposals and consultation process;

- Issued a press release to the local media, which generated coverage in the local press and radio;
- Used Facebook & Twitter to promote awareness of the call for sites process.
- 3.7 During the Call for Sites we;
 - Enabled responses to be submitted by email, by post or by hand;
 - Used Facebook & Twitter to provide reminders about the Call for Sites.
- 3.8 Following this process, we published the 117 site suggestions received on the AONB Partnership website with links from SLDC and LCC websites.

Site Assessment Methodology

- 3.9 We consulted on a draft methodology with a number of consultees asking for comments on the site assessment methodology, which has been used to assess the site suggestions through Call for Sites. Immediately prior to the start of the consultation we:
 - Wrote (by email or letter) to individuals who, at the time, were identified on the AONB DPD consultee database;
 - Placed all relevant documents on SLDC, Lancaster City and the AONB Partnership websites;
- 3.10 During the consultation we;
 - Enabled responses to be by email, by post or by hand;

Sustainability Appraisal Scoping Report

- 3.11 Immediately prior to the start of the consultation we:
 - Wrote (by email or letter) to the statutory consultees asking for comments in relation to the scope and remit of the Sustainability Appraisal
 - Placed all relevant documents on SLDC, Lancaster City and the AONB Partnership websites.
- 3.12 During the consultation we;
 - Enabled responses to be submitted by email, by post or by hand.

Stakeholder meetings

3.13 Throughout the process we have worked closely with the AONB Partnership including providing updates at Executive Committee meetings and meeting regularly with the

AONB Manager and AONB Officer to discuss approaches and areas of work. The AONB Manager worked closely with us on the site visits and wider site assessments and together, the lead officers from Lancaster and South Lakeland, along with the AONB Manager, form the core working group for the DPD. X number of regular meetings of this working group have been held since May 2014.

- 3.14 Three stakeholder meetings have been held to feed into the emerging AONB DPD. The initial Stakeholder Meeting was held on 20 May 2014 at Silverdale Golf Club to engage relevant key stakeholders such as Landowners, agents and Parish Councils on why a joint DPD was being produced and to publicise the Housing Needs Survey and next steps. Presentations were made by Officers from SLDC, Lancaster City Council and Cumbria Rural Housing Trust.
- 3.15 A second Stakeholder Meeting was held on 12 November 2014 at Greenlands Farm Village, where Cumbria Rural Housing Trust gave a presentation on the key findings of the Housing Needs Survey and information was provided on the next stages and opportunities for stakeholders to get involved.
- 3.16 A further Stakeholder Meeting was held on 9 June 2015 at Storth Village Hall to discuss the progress on the Development Plan Document (DPD), including the initial results of the Call for Sites process and the site assessment methodology.
- 3.17 A further stakeholder meeting will be held as part of the Draft DPD consultation to discuss the content of the draft DPD and next steps.
- 3.18 A workshop with Infrastructure providers was held on 5 July 2016 to discuss AONB-wide and settlement and site-specific infrastructure constraints and opportunities.

Recording comments

- 3.19 Comments received by email, letter or on paper copies of the relevant response forms were recorded for each stage of this early consultation. Comments made at the earliest stages of consultation, which related mainly to procedural and evidence gathering matters, have not been made available online. Section 4 of this document does however highlight some of the key issues raised and how we responded to them.
- 3.20 The outcome of this early engagement (prior to the Issues and Options consultation) was used to inform the:
 - scope of the AONB DPD;
 - key issues to be considered in the DPD;
 - · identification of key local stakeholders;
 - stakeholders' roles in the process;
 - future community engagement exercises;
 - housing need evidence base;
 - sites to be considered for development or protection in the DPD;
 - SA scope and methodology;

- site assessment methodology.
- 3.21 Responses to the Issues and Options consultation and consultation on further site suggestions were made available for the public to view online following the close of the consultation and hard copies are also available to view at the Council's main offices.

4 Key issues raised through engagement process

- 4.1 This section provides a summary of the key messages from the comments received on the various stages of engagement carried out so far for the AONB DPD. There have been five key areas of engagement so far:
 - Issues and Options Discussion Paper
 - Housing Needs Survey
 - Call for sites
 - Site Assessment Methodology
 - Sustainability Appraisal Scoping Report
 - Stakeholder Meetings

Issues and Options Discussion Paper

4.2 Almost 300 responses were made to the Issues and Options Discussion Paper and almost 600 people attended the drop-in events held as part of the consultation. Many people took the time to answer all or some of the consultation questions set out in the Discussion Paper and lots of respondents commented on one or more of the sites that had been put forward for consideration for development or protection. 11 additional sites were put forward for consideration for development and some new open space suggestions were received.

Extra Sites Consultation

- 4.3 There were 56 respondents to the additional consultation allowing people to comment on the additional site suggestions made through the main consultation.
- 4.4 A table setting out the comments received at both stages of the Issues and Options Consultation and the Councils' response to them can be found at Appendix 4. A summary of the key issues raised at each drop-in event is provided at Appendix 5.

Housing Needs Survey

- 4.5 The primary purpose of the Housing Needs Survey was as an evidence gathering exercise to inform decisions on the amount and type of housing the AONB DPD should seek to deliver. A total of 1,473 households responded to the survey. The results of the Survey were set out in a report. Keys findings showed that:
 - 167 respondents (11.33%) stated their household or someone living within the household needed to move to another home in the parish within the next 5 years
 - 72 respondents in the AONB area are in need of affordable housing within the next 5 years
 - The majority of the need is for 1/2 bedroom accommodation for rent, followed by 1/2 bedroom accommodation for intermediate/discounted sale.

- Households in private rented accommodation make up the largest proportion of those in need, many stating that they wish to move/buy or have more security
- There are a large number of adult children, who are living at home with their parents and wish to set up home for the first time.
- Four of the respondents had a preference for sheltered housing.
- A large proportion wish to move as soon as possible.
- 4.6 Other key issues highlighted through the survey responses included:
 - Most people who thought new homes were needed in the AONB felt that the need was mainly for young people, the elderly and small families.
 - The majority of people said they would support new homes being built in their parish for local people;
 - 95 respondents stated they needed to move but are not deemed to be in need
 of affordable housing indicating a demand for housing suitable for a range
 of different needs, particularly including 2-3 bed and single level properties;
 - Some demand for self-build opportunities
 - Many people suggested sites where new homes could be built and others gave reasons why they felt no new housing should be built/was needed.

Call for Sites

4.7 The primary purpose of the Call for Sites exercise was to seek suggestions for sites on which new homes, employment and other uses could be built. It also sought suggestions as to sites that should be protected from development, such as important open spaces. 117 sites were put forward. These were primarily sites suggested for housing, although some of the sites were put forward for employment, community and tourism uses. Some sites were put forward by more than one party, sometimes for the same use, sometimes for different uses. Open space sites were only suggested in one parish, so a follow up exercise took place seeking suggestions for open space sites in all the parishes.

Site Assessment Methodology

4.8 27 representations were received in response to consultation on the site assessment methodology. Comments received were generally positive that the issues/factors proposed for assessment were appropriate for the use of assessing the deliverability of sites, but there were a number of very useful suggestions that have been used to amend and improve the site assessment criteria and methodology. Appendix 6 shows the main issues raised from representations that were received and how we responded.

Sustainability Appraisal Scoping Report

4.9 Five representations were made in response to consultation on the draft SA Scoping Report. Comments received were generally positive, supporting the proposed methodology for assessing the suitability and sustainability of policies and sites.

Appendix 7 shows the main issues raised from representations that were received and how we responded.

Stakeholder Meetings

- 4.10 The three Stakeholder Meetings held were well attended. At the meetings, Officers from SLDC and Lancaster City Council, made short presentations to update stakeholders regarding the process and progress, including in relation to the Call for Sites process, Site Assessment Methodology and on the next steps on the development of the AONB DPD and how stakeholders can further engage in the process. At the meetings, there was a generally positive atmosphere and stakeholders welcomed the engagement and the opportunity to ask questions and make comments. A further stakeholder meeting is being held as part of the Draft DPD consultation.
- 4.11 A workshop with Infrastructure providers was held on 5 July 2016 to discuss AONB-wide and settlement and site-specific infrastructure constraints and opportunities. Although there was limited attendance, some useful information was received. A record of the workshop, including the issues raised, can be found at Appendix 8.

5 Next Steps

5.1 The key milestone in the production of the AONB DPD is expected to be the consultation on Preferred Options in Autumn 2016. The expected timetable beyond that is set out below.

Table 6: AONB DPD Timetable						
Stage	Timescale					
Preferred Options Consultation	November - January 2016/17					
Revise the emerging DPD in light of comments	Early 2017					
Formal Publication	Spring 2017					
Submission to Secretary of State	Summer 2017					
Adoption	Autumn 2017					

Appendix 1 - Duty to Co-operate bodies

- 1. Cumbria County Council
- 2. Historic England
- 3. Lake District National Park Authority
- 4. Natural England
- 5. Yorkshire Dales National Park Authority
- 6. Eden District Council
- 7. Barrow Borough Council
- 8. Copeland Borough Council
- 9. Cumbria Local Enterprise Partnership
- 10. Environment Agency
- 11. Highways England
- 12. Homes and Communities Agency
- 13. Lancaster City Council
- 14. Lancashire County Council
- 15. Marine Management Organisation
- 16. North Yorkshire County Council
- 17. Office of Rail and Road
- 18. NHS (Cumbria Clinical Commissioning Group)
- 19. Civil Aviation Authority
- 20. Wyre Borough Council
- 21. Craven District Council
- 22. Ribble Valley Borough Council
- 23. NHS (Lancashire Clinical Commissioning Group)
- 24. Lancashire Local Enterprise Partnership

Appendix 2 - Housing Needs Survey Questionnaire









WARTON PARISH HOUSING NEEDS SURVEY

By Cumbria Rural Housing Trust

Commissioned by South Lakeland District Council and Lancaster City Council

with support from Arnside & Silverdale AONB Partnership

May/June 2014

......We need your help!!!

A dedicated Development Plan Document (DPD) is being prepared by South Lakeland District Council and Lancaster City Council for the whole of the Arnside and Silverdale Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty (AONB). Once complete, the DPD will form part of both authorities' Local Plans. It will identify sites for new housing and employment to meet local needs and will set out planning policies to ensure that development reflects the AONB designation.

We must ensure that this important document uses up-to-date evidence, including a local housing needs survey. This survey will help to identify how many new dwellings are needed and of what type. It will also help make policy for future development in the AONB.

To ensure that the housing needs survey produces as accurate an assessment as possible and the most useful evidence, we need you (and as many other local people as possible!) to complete and return the survey.

Please can every household complete Part 1 of the survey.

If anyone in your household is in need of affordable housing now, or in the next five years, please also complete Part 2.

Please return the completed form in the self addressed envelope enclosed by:

Monday 16th June 2014

Thank you in advance for your help.

information given will be kept strictly confidential by Cumbria Rural Housing Trust under the Data Protection Act.

If you have any questions about the survey contact: Cumbria Rural Housing Trust, Redhills Business Park, Penrith, Cumbria, CA11 0DT. Tel: 01768 21026.

Email: email@crht.org.uk Data Protection Registration Number 2810236X Charity No. 1064136 Company No. 2920967 Mayluste 2014



Cumbria Rural Housing TrustHousing Needs SurveyWARTON PARISH2014

May/June 2014

Alternative formats are available upon request.

Part 1: Every household should complete this section

Please fill in the number of people living in your home	4. How long have you lived in the parish? years.				
Age 0-4 5-9 10- 15- 20- 25- 30- 14 19 24 29 39 Male	5. In your view who requires homes in the parish? (tick as many as you want):				
Female Age 40-49 50-59 60-69 70-79 80-89 89+ Male Female Which of the following describes your household? Couple	O Young people				
Single Couple Family - young children children Family - adult children Children Children Children Children Children Children Children Couple Family - Teenage Children Children Children Couple Family - Jeenage Children Couple Family - Teenage Children Children Couple Family - Jeenage Couple Family - Jee					
2. What is the tenure of your home? Own home no mortgage Own home with mortgage Rent - Council or Housing Association Rent from Private Landlord Shared ownership with Housing Association Tied accommodation – to job Live with parents or relatives Other (please explain)	7. Potential sites • Please list sites suitable for housing 1. 2. 3.				
Is this home? Permanent residence	Puture housing need in this parish Do you need to move to another home in this parish now or in the next 5 yrs? If YES please complete part 2 → Does anyone living with you need a Yes No				
3. List the occupations of your household and the average miles travelled to work? Occupation Distance (one way)	separate home in this parish now or in the next 5 yrs? If YES please complete part 2 → • How many members of your family have left this parish in the last 5 yrs?				
Does any of your household work from home? Yes How Many? No □	 Please give the reason for leaving. Lack of affordable housing Job elsewhere Lack of public transport Further education Marriage/Partnership Other (please explain) 				

Warton Housing Needs Survey

For extra survey forms please contact Cumbria Rural Housing Trust.

Part 2: Complete this section if you need another home in the parish now or in the next 5 years.

9. Details of household that needs to move								 What kind of house do you live in (flat, semi- detached, terraced etc) 								
Ag	9	0-4	5-9	10- 14	15- 19	20- 24										
Ма	le								1		low many bed				home hav	/e?
Fer	nale						\top		1	•	Do you have No	centr	al heati		s, gas	
Ag	9	40-49	50-5	9 60-	69 7)-79	80-89	89+			Yes, oil				s, electric	
Ma	e								1		Yes, solid fue	el			·	
Fer	nale									• If	no, how is yo	ur ho	me hea	ted?		
• V	Vhen	are yo	u in ne	ed of	housir	ng in	this Pa	arish?	•					_		
	Nov	v			With	in 12	month	ns		• []	o you have d	ouble	glazing	?		
	With	nin 3 ye	ars		With	in 5	/ears				Yes		No			
10.	Rea	sons	for h	ousin	a nee	d				• V	Vhat condition	is yo	ur hom	e in?		
		lo you i			_		hat an	nlv)			Very good		Good		Fai	r
_	-	•			•			ועיק			Bad		Very b	ad		
		Setting Couple														
		Presen				ogen	iei				"bad" or "very				lain why	
		Presen								(ie.	cold, damp, o	ıraug	nty etc)			
		Presen				ive										
		Private			•						he answers in	the.	nevt se	ction	holn us a	ceace
_				-	_					The answers in the next section help us assess how much you can afford to pay for new housing.						
Any information given in this section C				ction Q12	2 – Q16											
		Family					,				will be l	kept :	strictly	conf	idential.	
		Cannot		•	iirs					_						
	11	Presen	t hom	e in po	or cor	ditio	n			12.	Renting					
	12	Rentin	g, but	would	like to	buy					o you receive	hous	sina her	efit?		
	13	Moved	laway	and w	ish to	retu	m				o you receive	rious	onig boi	ione.		
	14	Disabl	ed, ne	ed spe	ecially	adap	ted ho	me			Yes		No			
	15	To give	e/rece	ive fan	nily su	ppor	t			• If	you rent your	r hom	e how r	nuch	do vou p	av each
		To be		to em	ploym	ent				wee					,	.,
	17	Homele	ess													
	18	Other	(pleas	e expl	ain)						Less than £5 £76 - £100	0	0		1 - £75 ire than £1	100
		is the number		eason	for m	oving	?			IT M	ore than £100	now	mucn a	o you	pay? £	
_										13	Home own	ers				
		at are	-	prese	nt ho	usir	ng				low much do		nink vou	ır pro	norty is w	orth2
cir	cum	stance	es?							_	Less than £7		-			
	Ow	n home	e with	no mo	rtaaae	•					£100.000-£1		_		5,000-£10 25.000-£1	
		n home									£150,000-£1				75,000-£2	
		nt from									£200,000-£2				50,000-£3	
	Rei	nt from	Coun	cil or H	lousin	g Ass	sociati	on			£300,000-£3 £400,000-£4				50,000-£4 er £450,0	
		ared ov														
		d accor								• D	o you have a	mort	gage or	you	r current h	iome?
		e with p									Yes		No			
		dging w				old				How much do you owe? £						
☐ OtheYa(plectase inax)otexin) Survey 48			48	How long does it have to run? May/June 2014. yrs												

What is the gross (before tax) combined weekly income for those people who need to move. Income includes wages, pensions & Tax Credits. Do not include Housing Benefit, Child Benefit, Job Seekers Allowance or Council Tax Benefit. (tick one only) If this question not is filled in and you are in housing need, your response cannot be used to	How long have they work Less 1 yr 1-3 yrs 1.	3-5 yrs 5+	yrs
help justify the need for affordable housing. □ Under £100 □ £101-£150 □ £151-£200 □ £201-£250 □ £251-£300 □ £301-£350 □ £351-£400 □ £401-£450 □ £451-£500 □ £501-£550 □ £551-£600 □ £601-£650 □ £651-£700 □ £701-£750 □ £750-£800 □ £800-£850 □ £851-£900 □ £900+	17. What type of home 1 bed 2 bed House	-	5+
15. Do you have any savings that could be used to buy a home?		Yes	 No
□ No savings □ Under £5k □ £5k - £10k □ £10k - £20k □ £20k - £30k □ Over £30k If over £30k, please state amount: £	 Are you registered with Based Lettings/Council re Are you registered on a Private Landlord waiting li 	Choice gister?	
Please do not include any equity from your home. This is covered in Q 13. 16. How many people who need to move are in the following types of employment?	Does anyone needing to Access for wheelchair Sheltered housing Extra Care housing	to move require: Accommodati one level Help with pers	
No. Occupation type Working full time Working part time Unemployed and seeking work Unemployed and not seeking work	Please tell us more abor problems.	ut any health or mo	bility
Retired In full time further/higher education	Which would best suit you	our housing need?	
Other (please explain)	 Renting 	Buying on op	en
 List the occupations of those needing to move and the average miles travelled to work. 	☐ Residential care	☐ Shared owner	
Occupation Distance (one way)	 Sheltered housing 	build	

1.	
2.	
2. 3. 4.	
4.	
Do any of those needin home?	ng to move work from
☐ Yes How Many?	No 🗆
In which villages/towns	do they work?
1.	
1. 2. 3.	
3.	

Warton Housing Needs Survey

housing to meet your needs? ☐ Yes ☐ No • If in a position to buy your own home, what could you afford? Under £75,000 ☐ £100,000-£125,000 ☐ £125,000-£150,000

. Do you feel there is a lack of suitable existing

☐ £150,000-£175,000 ☐ £200,000-£250,000 If more than £300,000, please state amount: £......

Extra Care housing

May/June 2014

Other (please explain)

18. Where would you like to live?	 What is the furthest away would you be prepared to move? 					
1	☐ 5-10 miles ☐ 10-20 miles ☐ 20-30 miles					
3.	☐ 30-40 miles ☐ 40-50 miles ☐ 50+ miles					
Please give the reasons for your first choice.	 Are you a former resident of this Parish who needs to return? 					
☐ I was born/grew up in this Parish	☐ Yes ☐ No					
☐ I live in this Parish now	 If yes, how long did you live in the parish?yrs 					
☐ I am currently employed in this Parish and I have been employed here for years						
If employed in parish only, where do you live now?	If yes, how long ago did you leave? yrs					
	 If yes, reason for leaving. 					
☐ I have close family ties in this Parish	 Lack of affordable housing 					
I need to move to take up employment in this Parish	□ Lack of employment opportunities					
☐ Other (please explain)	☐ Lack of effective public transport system					
	 □ To take up further/higher education □ Other (please explain) 					
Contact details - optional						
We may need to contact you for more information abore confidential by Cumbria Rural Housing Trust under the be passed on to any other party.	out your needs. Information will be kept strictly e Data Protection Act. Your name and address will not					
Name:	Address:					
	Postcode:					
Tel:	Email:					
Comments/further info:						
Thank you						
Thank you on behalf of Cumbria Rural Housing Trust for tal enclosed stamped addressed envelope.	king the time to complete the survey. Please return in the					
CLOSING DATE: Monday 16th June 2014						
If you have any questions about the survey contact: Cumbria Rural Housing Trust, Redhills Business Park	, Penrith, Cumbria. CA11 0DT. Tel: 01768 210264					
Email: email@crht.org.uk Data Protection Registration Numb	er Z810236X Charity No. 1064136 Company No. 2920997					
Warton Housing Needs Survey	50 May/June 2014					

Appendix 3 - Call for Sites Site Suggestion Form







Arnside & Silverdale Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty Development Plan Document CALL FOR SITES – SITE SUGGESTION FORM

Please use this form to suggest a site to be considered for housing, affordable housing, employment, community use or other development, or for locally important open space.

Please suggest one site only per form.

In order to be considered, sites must:

- · be within the Arnside and Silverdale AONB:
- · not overlap with any local, national or international wildlife or geology designation;
- not be subject to any extant planning permissions for the use or type of development proposed.

You do not need to be the owner of a site in order to suggest it but suggestions must be accompanied by:

- a location map clearly and accurately showing the outline in red of the land being proposed:
- details of what type(s) of development you believe the site to be suitable for (housing, employment, mixed-use, open space, community uses or infrastructure);
- written confirmation that the landowner is willing to make the site available for development/use proposed – wherever possible, this should be from / signed by the landowner.
- contact details of the landowner (including copies of the Land Registry report/deeds if possible):
- · details of any known covenants on the land.

It is expected that smaller sites are likely to be most appropriate in the AONB. There may be instances where a portion of a site is appropriate for development even if the whole site is not

If a site meets the criteria but some of the information is missing from your form, we may contact you seeking these missing details. This may delay the process – please ensure you include as much information as possible. If you have any queries about suggesting a site and submitting accompanying information, please contact 01539 793383 (for South Lakeland) or 01524 582335 (for Lancaster).

More copies of this form can be found online (on South Lakeland's, Lancaster's or the AONB websites – see bottom of form), or you can request additional forms from developmentplans@southlakeland.gov.uk or by calling 01539 793383 (for South Lakeland) or 01524 582335 (for Lancaster) or collect a form from council or AONB offices.

Your suggestions should be sent to developmentplans@southlakeland.qov.uk by Friday 27th February 2015. Alternatively, send them to Development Plans, South Lakeland House, Lowther Street, Kendal, Cumbria, LA9 4DL. Once submitted, your suggestions will be available for others to view.

1. Personal Details	2. Agent Details (if app	licable)
Title	Title	
First Name	First Name	
Last Name	Last Name	
Job Title	Job Title	
(where relevant)	(where relevant)	
Organisation	Organisation	
(where relevant)	(where relevant)	
Address Line 1	Address Line 1	
Line 2	Line 2	
Line 3	Line 3	
Line 4	Line 4	
Post Code	Post Code	
Telephone Number	Telephone Number	
Email address	Email address	

3. I am (tick as many as are applicable)	
Owner of the site	Planning Consultant
Parish Council	Land Agent
Local Resident	Developer
Amenity/Community Group	Registered Social Landlord
Other (please specify)	
4. Site Information	
Site location (address and post code)	
Grid reference (centre of site)	
Site area (hectares)	
Current Land Use e.g. agriculture, employment, unused/vacant etc.	
Greenfield/brownfield (has it ever been built on before?)	
Existing trees and other landscape features on the site	
Availability of access to the site (roads, footpaths)	
Ecological features and areas of biological importance	
Relevant Planning History (if known – please include relevant planning application numbers)	
Please explain how the development of this site will have a positive impact on the AONB.	

Why is the development of this site appropriate in the context of the AONB?							
If the whole site is not allocated, is smaller portion of it that would be appropriate? (if yes, please indicate area on your map in another colour)		a					
5. Proposed Use – what do you pro	opose t	he site could be used for?					
USE (if mixed use, please tick all that apply)	Yes	Basic Information – area/numb Floorspace	er of units/p	proposed			
Residential/housing							
Community facilities (please specify)							
Locally Important Open space							
Employment/business use							
Other (please specify)							
		•					
6. Site Ownership							
I (or my client)							
am the sole owner of the site							
am a part owner of the site							
do not own (or hold any legal interest	in) the	site whatsoever					
If you are the owner/part-owner have and deeds with this form?	Yes	No					
If you are not the owner, or own only part of the site, do you know who owns or has an interest in the site /remainder? (please provide details):							
Does the owner(s) support your prop	osal for	the site?	Yes	No			
	If you only own part of the site, please indicate on the map you are providing which part of the site you own and other ownerships affecting the site.						

7. Market Interest (if known)							
Please choose the most appropriate category below and indicate what level of market interest there is/has recently been in the site.							
	Yes	Comments	(please give deta	ails where known)			
Site is owned by a developer							
Site is under option to a developer							
Enquiries received							
Site is being marketed							
None							
Not Known							
8. Utilities (if known)	<u>'</u>	'					
Please tell us which of the following u	utilities a	are available t	o the site				
Thouse tell de miller er die reliefung		aro aranabio c					
	Yes		No	Unsure			
Mains water supply							
Mains sewerage							
Electrical supply							
Gas supply							
Public highway							
Landline telephone/broadband internet							
Public Transport							
Other (please specify):							

9. Availability Issues						
Please tell us if there are any of the following constraints						
			Yes	No	Unsure	
Land in other ownerships is needed in order to develop the site						
There are restrictive covenants on the site						
The current use of the site needs to be relocated for the site to be developed						
There are physical constraints (topography, trees, other)						
Public rights of way cross or adjoin the site						
There may be/are issues of contamination affecting the site						
The site is in or near a Conservation Area or Listed Buildings		or				
The site is in an area of flood risk						
Please provide any relevant information of				-	-	
likely measures to address any of the above						
that you have answered "YES" to:						
10. Timescale for Availability						
Please indicate the approximate timescale for availability:						
			•	ularly if you have ir ely available, pleas		
Immediately						
Up to 5 years						
5 - 10 years						
10 – 15 years						
Beyond 15 years						

11. Other Relevant Information – Please use the space below for additional information or further explanations on any of the topics covered in this form (any additional info should be limited to 1 side of A4 paper):				
12. In summary, why do you think development of this site would be sustainable in the				
context of the Arnside and Silverdale AONB? By sustainable development, we mean development that is close to key services and facilities and not causing harm to the environmental, social or economic objectives of the AONB. In particular, sites should not compromise the primary purpose of AONB designation, which is to conserve and enhance the natural beauty of the area.				
SignatureDate				
PLEASE MAKE SURE YOU HAVE ENCLOSED A MAP OUTLINING THE SITE IN RED AND THE EXTENT OF EACH KNOWN OWNERSHIP				
ALL SITE SUGGESTIONS, INCLUDING THOSE THAT LIE WITHIN LANCASTER DISTRICT,				
SHOULD BE DIRECTED TO developmentplans@southlakeland.gov.uk				
www.southlakeland.gov.uk www.lancaster.gov.uk/planning/local-plan				
www.arnsidesilverdaleaonb.org.uk				

Appendix 4 – Summary of responses to Issues and Options and Extra Sites Consultations

See separate document

Appendix 5 - Main issues raised at Issues and Options Stage Drop-in Events

Storth - 74 attendees

- Most people had queries about specific sites and/or the process/next steps.
- Concern expressed about access to sites along Quarry Lane.
- Concern about possible scale of development on site B79, N of Yans Lane.
- Support for the development of business uses at sites B35 and B81, and for the potential to improve pedestrian safety along the main road (B5282).
- Clarification with owners about the correct boundary for site B114, E of Carr Bank Road.

Warton – 119 attendees

- Warton is heavily constrained by flood risk, geology, landscape, and capacity of the Carnforth doctors' surgery.
- More development would exacerbate existing highway problems on Main Road (overparked so virtually impassable), Borwick Lane (dangerous and liable to flooding) and Mill Lane (lack of footpath), especially as there is a lack of employment opportunities in the AONB meaning people have to commute out of the area to get to work.
- Warton has already provided more than its fair share of housing (Millhead and Warton Grange Farm), so there will be no housing need for Warton for a number of years to come.
- Lots of suggestions for development of brownfield sites in Carnforth, including Lundsfield Quarry and TDG site – suggested these should be looked at INSTEAD of the AONB/Warton.
- Concern that if housing is provided within the AONB that it should be starter / affordable homes of an appropriate size not executive homes or second homes.
- There was some confusion about the status of sites shown on the maps/plans as some
 of the sites had planning permission, whilst most were merely suggestions by
 landowners.
- Concerns of an existing conflict of interest between the Parish Council and the main local landowners who are promoting most of the large sites around Warton (i.e. a strong feeling that the Parish Council does not reflect the opinions of the local population).
- Some praise for consulting residents so early in the process they had expected the final plan to be tabled.
- Some specific comments raised about particular sites surface water run-off from Warton Crag was mentioned a lot as was the lack of suitable vehicular access to the land south of Sand Lane (W92 and 93).
- Some residents had done calculations as to what they thought the total number of houses expected to be build might be based on the need identified in the Housing Needs Survey for c70 affordable units in the next 5 years, the fact that this will be a 15 year plan (so potentially a need for c210), and the fact that Millhead (a greenfield site) could only deliver 30% and as a result were concerned for the implications the totals they had reached might have on the natural beauty of the AONB, its limited road

- network and whether this would make the area less attractive to tourists/visitors (so adversely impacting on the local economy)
- Many people pointed out the current flooding problems and could not understand how housing could be considered in such areas.
- The brownfield sites in Carnforth were mentioned many times and people felt it was wrong for these sites to be left unused whilst greenfield sites were lost within the AONB.
- No feedback was provided on the questions set out by the discussion paper.

Silverdale – 137 attendees

- Many people had general queries or concerns about specific sites and/or the process/next steps.
- The well-documented sewage system issues were raised but more people had general infrastructure/community facilities concerns (library, bus service, shop, traffic issues, school etc) than specifically mains sewerage concerns but equally, people recognised that new homes and a few more people might help keep such services viable.
- Many people mentioned the need for smaller homes for singles, elderly, couple, small/young families and specifically stated there is no need for more larger 4/5+ bedroomed properties in the area.
- Some people asked about overall numbers of houses to be delivered in Silverdale and the AONB more widely.
- Several people were confused about how the process/sites shown related to the Districtwide process in Lancaster and sites they had seen tabled at a similar event in Carnforth.
- Several people had concerns about what happens to public rights of way if development takes place on sites that PRoW cross.
- Several people had particular concerns about the tracts of land identified both east and west of Lindeth Road, including behind Whinney Fold.
- Feedback on the day indicated that sites at Elmslack Field (S43), Hawthorn Bank (S45) and East of St.John's Avenue (S50) are unavailable; land north of Woodlands Cottage (site S51) and adjoining land may be proposed as a revised site suggestion by the landowner.

Arnside – 117 attendees

- Recognition that the sites are just what has been suggested to us at this stage and not sites that we are proposing to allocate.
- Most queries / discussions were about individual sites or groups of sites.
- Established that Land NW of Briery Bank (A14: or at least a significant part of) and Land East of Carr Bank Road (B114: in whole) are not available.
- Several people (in a group) questioned the availability of the site at Hollins Lane (A8)
 and it became apparent that there is some confusion over who the owners are and
 whether they are willing to release it.
- Some praise for the approach to preparing a plan focused on the AONB area, especially
 in terms of achieving consistency of policies, more of a focus on the AONB
 designation and the two councils working together across the boundary.
- Significant concern about development on key sites such as Redhills Road (site A15), Briery Bank fields (sites A11/12 and 107) and Station Fields (sites A23/24).

- Particular concern about large site off Knott Lane (A7) and the coastal site at Far Arnside (A2).
- Transport links are not good enough to support an increased population, as people generally work/shop/go to school etc. outside the area. Narrow roads are already busy and dangerous and cannot cope with further increases in numbers of car journeys - this is not sustainable.
- General feeling from many people that their view regarding the suitability for
 development of any given site depends how a site is developed if a combination of
 small scale sensitive development and creation /retention of open space could be
 achieved on a site this may be more likely to attract support from the local community,
 especially if it was a new public open space such as park or village green for example.
- Some concern about 'creep' of development happening over time impacting on the rural nature of the village, for example, small portions of a bigger area of land being developed consecutively.
- Support for a station car park and other improvements at Station Yard site (sites A25/26/27) – expressed as being preferable to a new car park at Station Field (site A22).
- Concern about flooding of Station Field site (A22) and flooding in Storth cutting off the village at high tides with increasing frequency.
- Most people work outside the AONB, so that is where new houses are needed any development in the AONB should be affordable and local needs only.
- General support for development and improvement of brownfield sites, particularly Station Yard (A25/26/27).
- Overall a positive and constructive dialogue.

Beetham - 69 attendees

- Questions about the need for housing locally, but general view that a small amount would be welcome.
- Concerns in particular about the larger site in the village (B32) and the sites at Slackhead (B73/74/75/76).
- Sites at Slackhead generally thought to be wholly unsuitable (mainly due to access, proximity to services and facilities and impact on rural feel of area).
- Impact on neighbours of the larger site in Beetham (B32) who have a responsibility in their deeds for the maintenance of the lane leading to the site – what would happen to this responsibility?
- Larger site considered too big (B32).
- Some indication that a smaller part of B32 (such as area proposed for car park) would be OK.
- Questions about proposed car park on part of B32 and how this relates to/could set a
 precedent for housing to be allowed.
- Parking issues in the village.
- Questions about the crematorium decision (which at the time was an outstanding appeal decision that has now been decided, allowing the appeal).
- Suggestion that things like housing provision should just be left to the market rather than trying to 'engineer society'

- Concerns about lack of jobs/infrastructure locally and that housing would be better placed closer to services and facilities.
- Some positive reactions to the smaller sites.
- Several people expressed their concerns about B73 having toxic waste on the site and B76 and B74 being partly covered by Limestone Pavement Orders.
- Concerns expressed about the impact of new development on the very narrow roads in Beetham and towards Slackhead.

Yealands - 76 attendees

- Land East of Yealand Road (Y99) queries as to availability.
- Land N and E of The Meadows (Y100) considered too large, access considered to be a major constraint, concern that owner not willing to release land, flooding issues.
- Land North and East of Silverdale Road (Y101/Y102) some concern about impact on long views and potential for joining up Yealand Redmayne with Yealand Storrs.
- Land West of Footeran Lane (Y103) some concern about potential for joining up Yealand Redmayne with Yealand Conyers, considered too large, access onto narrow road considered unsuitable, small part of land subject to a covenant preventing development.
- Many people with concerns relating to traffic issues in nearby Warton
- Comment that Land at Town End Farm in Warton (W96) has the wrong boundary and parts of site are not available.
- General queries about the need for development locally, lack of services and facilities in the villages.
- Concerns about capacity of roads such as Nineteen Acre Road (which are being used as 'rat runs' to the A6 and M6) to take additional traffic.
- Drainage/managing water coming down off the crag was raised as an issue.

Appendix 6 - Main issues raised about the Site Assessment Methodology and how we responded

Table 5: Main issues raised on the Site Assessment Methodology				
Main issue raised	Council Response			
Priority species should be included within the Exclusion Criteria	Priority species cannot be used as an exclusion criteria. This is because of the presentation format of the data on priority species, which means that it is only possible to relate species presence to very large areas and as a result, all land is shown to have priority species present. Ecological assessments would be needed to determine actual presence of particular species, including priority species, on any given site and will be a significant factor is assessing suitability of the site at a later stage in the process.			
Limestone Pavement Orders should be specifically listed within the Exclusion Criteria	+ve The Site Assessment Methodology now includes Limestone Pavement Orders as an Exclusion Criteria			
Impact on public access needs to be included within the Suitability Criteria	+ve The Site Assessment Methodology now includes impact on public access needs as Suitability Criteria			
Traffic implications need to be included as part of the deliverability criteria	+ve The Site Assessment Methodology includes the potential impact on public access needs which includes roads as an Suitability Criteria			
Amenity value and important local green spaces should be included as Exclusion Criteria	+ve The Site Assessment Methodology includes existing or recent recreational or community use, with no appropriate replacement as an exclusion criteria.			
Suitability/Sustainability criteria should include Open Access Land and Common Land	+ve The Site Assessment Methodology includes Open Access Land and Common Land falls under the category of Open Green Space.			
The distance criteria of 400m walking distance and 100m to services are considered overly restrictive.	The Site Assessment Methodology distance criteria of 400m walking distance and 100m to services are considered to be a sustainable development criteria.			

Appendix 7 - Main issues raised about the draft Sustainability Appraisal Scoping Report and how we responded

Main issue raised	Council Response
Recommend the inclusion	+ve
of a measure to look for	
opportunities to undertake	
habitat creation to	
compensate for the	
impacts of climate	
change.	
Adopt an 'early	<mark>+ve</mark>
intervention' strategy for	
new infestations of	
invasive non-native as an	
objective.	
Therefore, SA Objective	<mark>+ve</mark>
15 should be amended to	
ensure that they reflect	
national policy and	
Legislation and the	
terminology of the NPPF.	
Recommend that HRA is	<mark>+ve</mark>
undertaken as soon as	
possible to inform the	
Sustainability Appraisal	
(SA) process.	
Air Quality should be	<mark>+ve</mark>
included within the scope	
of the SA.	
Recreational pressure	<mark>+ve</mark>
should be considered as	
in issue on Morecambe	
Bay.	

Appendix 8 – Record of Workshop with Infrastructure Providers

Arnside & Silverdale AONB DPD Infrastructure Providers Workshop

Tuesday 5th July 2016 - Lancaster Town Hall LA1 1PJ

Invitees

- Lucy Barron, Arnside & Silverdale AONB
- Michael Macklin, B4YS
- Paul Latham, Cumbria Constabulary
- Doug Coyle, Cumbria County Council
- Michael Barry, Cumbria County Council
- Sue Brett, Cumbria County Council
- Sir/Madam, Cumbria Fire and Rescue Service
- Corrine Watson, Cumbria Local Enterprise Partnership
- Graham Jackson-Pitt, Cumbria Local Nature Partnership
- Ian Povey, Electricity North West
- Dave Hortin, Environment Agency
- Lindsay Alder, Highways England
- Emily Hrycan, Historic England
- Dave Vickers, Lancashire Constabulary
- Tim Ellams, Lancashire Constabulary
- Terry Burke, Lancashire Constabulary
- Paul Blakeley, Lancashire County Council
- Steph Rhodes, Lancashire County Council
- Mike Doran, Lancashire County Council
- David Goode, Lancashire County Council

- Ashley Weir, Lancashire County Council
- Steve Scott, Lancashire County Council
- Brad Walker, Lancashire Fire and Rescue Service
- Ray Cassar, Lancashire Fire and Rescue Service
- Kathryn Molloy, Lancashire Local Enterprise Partnership
- Helen Ryan, Lancaster City Council
- Paul Cartmell, Lancaster City Council
- Susannah Bleakley, Morecambe Bay Local Nature Partnership
- Sir/Madam, National Grid Gas Distribution
- Sir/Madam, Natural England
- Diane Clarke, Network Rail
- Jill Stephenson, Network Rail
- Julie Clayton, NHS (Cumbria Clinical Commissioning Group)
- Hilary Fordham, NHS (Lancashire Clinical Commissioning Group)
- Sir/Madam, North West Ambulance Service
- Heidi Mottram, Northern Rail
- Sean Hall, SLDC Environmental Protection
- Deborah Clarke, SLDC Open Spaces
- Bryan McFarland, Stagecoach
- Michael Sanderson, Stagecoach
- Sir/Madam, United Utilities
- Dave Sherratt, United Utilities

Attendees

- Lucy Barron, Arnside & Silverdale AONB
- Alan McNicoll, Cumbria County Council
- Graeme Innes, Cumbria County Council
- Colin Parkes, Cumbria County Council
- Liz Locke, Environment Agency
- David Goode, Lancashire County Council
- Janet Baguley, Natural England
- Elizabeth Knowles, Natural England
- Willie McPhail, Stagecoach

Discussion

Table 1

Problems:

- (NE) Functionally linked land
 - o Spa
 - Similar issues as with LCC plan
- (NE + AONB) Robust ecological network is crucial special quality of AONB plan should enhance net gains.
- (NE) Plan should set out special qualities.
- (AONB/LCC) Bus services 'doomed' / under threat.
- Not all trains stop in AONB stations.
- Station shuttle important.
- (LCC) Residential development roads not able to accommodate pedestrians + cars together.
- (AONB) Car Park @ S70 could result in cutting shuttle bus as would discourage use.
- (LCC + AONB) Speed limits naturally low due to nature of roads enforcement would be an issue if formal limit – would add more visually intrusive signage – signage design could help.
- Caravans / static movements Result in a constraint in terms of road capacity DPD caravan sites policy should take into account.
- (EA) Expansion of caravan sites needs to be very carefully monitored / controlled due to lack of mains sewage system. Physical expansion of caravan numbers, and any change in pattern of useage ie from holiday to residential use. Similar issue applies with farm diversification converting barns etc to residential or holiday accommodation – can lead to overloading existing septic tank infrastructure unless this is upgraded as part of the development.
- (EA) Discharges impacts on designated Shellfish Waters in Morecambe Bay– extra layer of water quality control this is specifically regarding bacterial contamination, eg from sewage.
- (EA) Silverdale very vulnerable ground water care required when considering surface water management of new carpark at Silverdale Station (although the EA no-longer routinely provides comments on surface water management)
- (EA) Silverdale existing impacts of septic tank infra not fully known.
- (EA) Caravan sites septic tank infra is already overloaded.
- (EA) S70 very tight controls needed regarding drainage
- (EA) However SuDs where vulnerable aquifers need alternative keep out of aquifer.
- (EA) Flood risk on e.g. Station Yard needs looking at but not show stopper.
- (EA) Sites that flooded in Storm Desmond are being classed as FZ3 (not yet re-drawn maps) no new modelling currently proposed.
- (EA) No asset programmes in area.
- (AONB/EA) Leighton Moss SSSI in unfavourable condition due to water quality / diffuse pIIⁿ/ septic tank seepage implications when there are flood events.
- (EA) Septic tank condition (aged etc) = leaks.
- (AONB) B39 part priority habitat double check, should be ruled out?

- LCC Public Realm Development Manger: Due to issues of remoteness, access to public open space in terms of play areas, young people facilities and amenity space for informal play, which often doubles up as an area for community activities, is important in rural areas.
- LCC Public Realm Development Manger: The ideal would be for all villages within the area to offer such facilities and any developments in these areas to contribute towards the ongoing quality of such facilities.
- LCC Public Realm Development Manger: There is a lack of young people's facilities in these areas. These can include; age specific pieces of equipment(usually more challenging for this age group); climbing walls/boulder; Multi Use Games areas; BMX tracks; Skate areas; teen shelters, etc.

Opportunities

- Need to encourage sustainable modes of travel by making new footways.
- (LCC) Quality walking / cycling routes to encourage sustainable travel
- Remove stiles to encourage footpath use.
- Footpath bypasses where there are pinch points.
- (AONB) Yealand school in between 2 settlements need footpath.
- Sandside Footpaths needed around proposed sites.
- (AONB) Need to reduce no of cars on roads by encouraging alternatives, reducing car
 journeys.
- (EA) SuDs hard SuDs not preferred anyway but sometimes best/only option.
- (EA/NE) SuDs need to be carefully designed in AONB + contribute to AONB objectives.
- (AONB) AONB Management plan should guide priorities for infra monies investment.
- (AONB) Sites suitable for housing should be used for the type of houses that are actually needed, otherwise, we'll always need more sites to meet the need + the more sensitive sites will have to be used as all the suitable sites will have been used up.
- (AONB + NE) HSG RSLS deliver what's needed + enhancing rather than using up the suitable sites for market / large homes – enhancing = habitat creation, biodiversity networks etc.
- (AONB/NE) Policy framework (not just funding) important to deliver AONB Management plan objectives. through DPD.
- (AONB/NE) Policies to direct funding in future e.g. Star on map to highlight the areas we'd like to see it directed to.
- (AONB/NE) CIL priority in AONB should include ecological / GI enhancement inc for community benefit.
- (AONB/NE) IDP AONB section list AONB priorities for CIL spending.
- (AONB) A9 Hollins Lane open space should be created on part of the site not developed. Recognising former rec use.

Table 2

Problems:

- Flood risk issues on a number of sites.
- Lack of main sewerage in Silverdale careful management of situation.

- Same regarding localised highways issues e.g. particular difficult junctions in villages but no real strategic problem given scale of development.
- Lack of functional public transport network not commercially viable and no prospect of commercial services or increased subsides. Agree that the public transport network within the AONB is poor. The 552 bus timetable (unlike the shuttle bus and route 51 at Silverdale) certainly does not provide an integrated network with the rail timetable. Also, to get from one side of the AONB to the other (e.g. Sandside to Warton), a traveller must catch a bus, a train and another bus. What would be a more practical solution, but one that Stagecoach would have to implement, is one bus between Carnforth and Milnthorpe, which can connect to their 555 route at either end, and also connect with the trains at Arnside and Silverdale. The other problems with the current 552 bus timetable, is that they don't start early enough or run late enough for people to get to work, and their frequency is very poor (2 to 4 hours between buses). If new houses are built on the Sandside/Storth/Carr Bank side of the AONB, many workers will have no alternative but to use their cars.
- Silverdale Station poorly connected to the village.
- Education no secondary school in AONB, need to establish primary school capacities.
- Limited social facilities, people can feel isolated in rural communities.
- Scale of development likely to yield very limited developer contribution for infrastructure improvements.
- Perception that rural roads are unsafe hence calls for 40mph limit but probably a separate issue to development plan – probably not justification for broad brush approach.
- Parking at Arnside Station and related opportunities with sites put forward around.
- Provision of safe walking routes to public transport.
- Narrow roads and lanes making waste collections or goods deliveries difficult.

Opportunities:

- Encouraging walkers + cycling
 - Facilities at station
 - Storage in new homes?
- Opportunities related to Morecambe Bay cycle route and England Coastal path and for connection to these routes.
- Opportunities for parking at Silverdale station
- Any scope for extend rural wheels scheme into Lancashire developer contributions.
- Open up the blocked former rail bridge at Sandside, so that there is a continuous offroad path along the disused railway to Arnside.
- CCC pointed out that all flood risk should be considered in planning and <u>Flood Maps</u> for <u>Surface Water</u> are available. It should be presumed that development is not to be permitted on at least those parts within the site that are known to be at risk of flooding from any source.
- CCC said that the scale of development (around 150-200 units spread across the AONB in multiple small sites over 15 years) was too small to have a significant effect on our network or to deliver significant developer contributions.
- Re applying a blanket 40mph speed limit across the roads in the AONB.

CCC replied that there was a process outside planning by which this could be considered but it would need the support of members and the local community and would be **contrary to guidance and unlikely to be successful**. TRO's are usually used because of specific issues at specific locations. We also commented that due to the nature of the roads and locations speeds in reality are much lower than the national speed limit in any case.

- CCC state there is an existing problem of on street parking at both railway stations so
 we believe we can support further car parking at these stations.
- CCC would need to **look at each site individually** to take a view on all the sites are accessible to the highway network.
- CCC We are not likely to know about details such as ransom strips, etc.

SLDC Public Protection Comments (sent by email after the event)

- Support active travel in the AONB as well as public transport that can link in with active travel.
- Opportunities Could include a bike parking project in the area at key locations such
 as the station, schools, village halls and outside businesses (for both workplaces and
 visitors); better footpaths/multi use which can take walkers off the roads
- Morecambe Bay Partnership Arnside viaduct feasibility study how does this link in? Potential opportunity?
- Comments on contaminated land and other EP issues will be explored at a later date when the specific sites are released.

NW Ambulance Service Comments (sent by email after the event)

In respect of constraints/opportunities for the Ambulance Service the potential effects of the plan would be:

- Increased population will have an impact on our responses and resources. It is important we know about numbers and types of developments so that we can plan and react to future requirements.
- Location of developments is important to us as we have an eight minute window from receiving a genuine 999 call to arriving at the scene. Location of developments is required to determine where best to position vehicles to achieve the required response time.

Do you have an indicative time frame for next planning stages which will identify the potential developments in greater detail.

Does your Council offer a bidding system for CIL funding? Following notice of your detailed proposed New Local Plan we would need to forecast the impact of any future development proposals upon our service provision. This would highlight whether there would be a case for us needing extra resources to cover the future developments e.g. an additional operational vehicle. If it did this would be the basis for a potential bid.

