

TREE EVALUATION METHOD FOR PRESERVATION ORDERS (TEMPO):

SURVEY DATA SHEET & DECISION GUIDE

Date: 02.02.15	Surveyor: M Knagg
----------------	-------------------

Tree details		
TPO Ref: 544 (2015)	Tree/Group No: Area	Species: All species present includes ash, yew, sycamore, oak, spruce, pine etc

Part 1: Amenity assessment

a) Condition & suitability for TPO:

Refer to Guidance Note for definitions

- 5) Good Highly suitable
- 3) Fair Suitable
- 1) Poor Unlikely to be suitable
- 0) Unsafe Unsuitable
- 0) Dead Unsuitable

<p>Score & Notes 5 – Good quality trees, suited to their locality</p>
--

b) Remaining longevity (in years) & suitability for TPO:

Refer to ‘Species Guide’ section in Guidance Note

- 5) 100+ Highly suitable
- 4) 40-100 Very suitable
- 2) 20-40 Suitable
- 1) 10-20 Just suitable
- 0) <10 Unsuitable

<p>Score & Notes 4 – Many of the trees have the potential to remain for 40+ years, some species notably oak and yew have the potential to remain for multiple centuries under appropriate management</p>

c) Relative public visibility & suitability for TPO:

Consider realistic potential for future visibility with changed land use; refer to Guidance Note

- 5) Very large trees, or large trees that are prominent landscape features Highly suitable
- 4) Large trees, or medium trees clearly visible to the public Suitable
- 3) Medium trees, or larger trees with limited view only Just suitable
- 2) Small trees, or larger trees visible only with difficulty Unlikely to be suitable
- 1) Young, v. small, or trees not visible to the public, regardless of size Probably unsuitable

<p>Score & Notes 4 – Some of the trees are highly visible from the public domain.</p>
--

d) Other factors

Trees must have accrued 7 or more points (with no zero score) to qualify

- 5) Principal components of arboricultural features, or veteran trees
- 4) Members of groups of trees important for their cohesion
- 3) Trees with identifiable historic, commemorative or habitat importance
- 2) Trees of particularly good form, especially if rare or unusual
- 1) Trees with none of the above additional redeeming features

<p>Score & Notes 4 – The trees in question form part of a significant woodland area which extends beyond the boundaries of the wider Ridgeway Park site.</p>

Part 2: Expediency assessment

Trees must have accrued 9 or more points to qualify; refer to Guidance Note

- 5) Known threat to tree
- 3) Foreseeable threat to tree
- 2) Perceived threat to tree
- 1) Precautionary only
- 0) Tree known to be an actionable nuisance

<p>Score & Notes 5 – A large number of trees have been removed.</p>
--

Part 3: Decision guide

- Any 0 Do not apply TPO
- 1-6 TPO indefensible
- 7-10 Does not merit TPO
- 11-14 TPO defensible
- 15+ Definitely merits TPO

<p>Add Scores for Total: 22</p>
--

<p>Decision: Definitely Merits TPO</p>
