

# APPENDIX 1

## TREE EVALUATION METHOD FOR PRESERVATION ORDERS (TEMPO):

### SURVEY DATA SHEET & DECISION GUIDE

Date: 26.07.12	Surveyor: MK
----------------	--------------

<b>Tree details</b>		
TPO Ref: 504 (2012)	Tree/Group No: G1	Species: Willow, Ash, Alder & Elm
Owner (if known): Caton Parish Council		
Location: Southern bank of River Lune, Caton		

**Part 1: Amenity assessment**

**a) Condition & suitability for TPO:**

Refer to Guidance Note for definitions

- 5) Good                      Highly suitable
- 3) Fair                      Suitable
- 1) Poor                      Unlikely to be suitable
- 0) Unsafe                      Unsuitable
- 0) Dead                      Unsuitable

<b>Score &amp; Notes</b> 3.
--------------------------------

**b) Remaining longevity (in years) & suitability for TPO:**

Refer to 'Species Guide' section in Guidance Note

- 5) 100+                      Highly suitable
- 4) 40-100                      Very suitable
- 2) 20-40                      Suitable
- 1) 10-20                      Just suitable
- 0) <10                      Unsuitable

<b>Score &amp; Notes</b> 4. Willow, Ash, and Alder have the potential for 40-100yrs. Elm may become susceptible to Dutch Elm Disease
---

**c) Relative public visibility & suitability for TPO:**

Consider realistic potential for future visibility with changed land use; refer to Guidance Note

- |  |                         |
|--|-------------------------|
| 5) Very large trees, or large trees that are prominent landscape features  | Highly suitable         |
| 4) Large trees, or medium trees clearly visible to the public              | Suitable                |
| 3) Medium trees, or larger trees with limited view only                    | Just suitable           |
| 2) Small trees, or larger trees visible only with difficulty               | Unlikely to be suitable |
| 1) Young, v. small, or trees not visible to the public, regardless of size | Probably unsuitable     |

<b>Score &amp; Notes</b> 4. Clearly visible within landscape and from public footpath
--

**d) Other factors**

Trees must have accrued 7 or more points (with no zero score) to qualify

- 5) Principal components of arboricultural features, or veteran trees
- 4) Members of groups of trees important for their cohesion
- 3) Trees with identifiable historic, commemorative or habitat importance
- 2) Trees of particularly good form, especially if rare or unusual
- 1) Trees with none of the above additional redeeming features

<b>Score &amp; Notes</b> 1.
--------------------------------

**Part 2: Expediency assessment**

Trees must have accrued 9 or more points to qualify; refer to Guidance Note

- 5) Known threat to tree
- 3) Foreseeable threat to tree
- 2) Perceived threat to tree
- 1) Precautionary only
- 0) Tree known to be an actionable nuisance

<b>Score &amp; Notes</b> 2. Local concern that trees maybe removed
---

**Part 3: Decision guide**

- |       |                       |
|-------|-----------------------|
| Any 0 | Do not apply TPO      |
| 1-6   | TPO indefensible      |
| 7-10  | Does not merit TPO    |
| 11-14 | TPO defensible        |
| 15+   | Definitely merits TPO |

<b>Add Scores for Total:</b> 14
------------------------------------

<b>Decision:</b> TPO defensible
------------------------------------