

STANDARDS COMMITTEE 9TH APRIL 2009 – SUMMARY OF COMPLAINTS

REF	SUBJECT MEMBER	COMPLAINANT	DATE OF COMPLAINT	DATE OF ASSESSMENT SUB-COMMITTEE	SUMMARY OF COMPLAINT	OUTCOME
1/08.	City Councillor	Member of the public	18/7/08	28/7/08	Failure to declare prejudicial interest and participation in meeting	No action. Complaint if proven would not constitute breach of the Code of Conduct, as there was no prejudicial interest, and Monitoring Officer advice to that effect had been given in advance of the meeting from which the complaint arose
2/08	City Councillor	Member of the public	20/10/08	28/10/08	Failure to deal with an issue, failure to treat with respect	No action. Insufficient information to determine whether the complaint, if proven, would constitute breach of the Code of Conduct. No further information provided within 30 days, and complaint was therefore closed.
3/08	City Councillor	Member of the public	15/12/08	23/12/08	Failure to declare prejudicial interest and participation in meeting, and bias.	No action. Complaint if proven would not constitute breach of the Code of Conduct
1/09	City Councillor	Member of the public	5/1/09	14/1/09 Review Sub-Committee: 9/2/09	Failure to deal with an issue, failure to treat with respect	No action. Complaint if proven would not constitute breach of Code of Conduct. Note: This complaint was the same as 2/08, but with additional information. It was submitted as a new complaint after the original complaint had been closed
2/09	City Councillor	City Councillors	2/3/09	11/3/09	Failure to declare prejudicial interest at start of item of business, and participation in part of the item of business	Referred to the Monitoring Officer for "other action" (training)